

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

With regard to the rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), the Examiner asserts *Tsutsui* in Figs. 8 and 9 shows an information display device comprising an information receiver (102) and an information controller (103) extracting information from the information received. The Examiner admits that *Tsutsui* does not teach a first-information display unit and a second-information display unit displaying extracted first and second information. The Examiner relies on the teachings of *Nakasuji et al.* in Fig. 5 to cure the deficiencies of the teachings of *Tsutsui*. Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's characterization of these references.

In order to sustain a claim rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), it is respectfully submitted that the Examiner must meet his burden to establish a *prima facie* case. "To establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness, three basic criteria must be met. First, there must be some suggestion or motivation, either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the reference to combine the reference teachings. Second, there must be a reasonable expectation of success. Finally, the prior art reference (or references when combined) must teach or suggest all of the claim limitations." *In re Vaeck*, 947 F.2d 488, 20 USPQ2d 1438 (Fed. Cir. 1991).

It is respectfully submitted that *Tsutsui* teaches an information transmission method and apparatus and information receiving method and apparatus. Specifically, *Tsutsui* teaches at col. 9, lines 42-48,

The information read out by the recording medium is distributed by the controller 125 to the display 3 or to a reproducing unit 128. That is, if the information from the second recording medium 126 is picture information, the controller 125 sends the picture information to the display 3 for display. If the information is speech information, the controller sends the information to the reproducing unit 128.

In contrast, the present invention as set forth in claim 1 recites, *inter alia*, an information display device comprising a first information display unit displaying the first information extracted and a second information display unit displaying the second information extracted.

It is respectfully submitted that the Examiner admits that *Tsutsui* fails to teach the first and second information display units. It is further respectfully submitted that *Nakasuji et al.* fails to cure the deficiencies of the teachings of *Tsutsui*, assuming these references are combinable, which Applicant does not admit. It is respectfully submitted that *Nakasuji et al.* teaches an information display apparatus and method of scrolling display data. A LCD 2 serves as a display unit for displaying calculation results or messages as data content. LCD 2 is adapted to be divided into an upper and lower half display area (col. 5, lines 5-9). Additionally, *Nakasuji et al.* teaches at col. 7, lines 24-28,

[T]he index word is displayed at the upper part corresponding to the first display area 2a and the meaning is displayed at the lower part corresponding to the second display area 2b as shown in FIG. 6(c).

It is respectfully submitted that *Nakasuji et al.* fails to teach the first-information display unit displaying the first information extracted and a second information display unit displaying the second information extracted as set forth in claim 1. As such, it is respectfully submitted that claim 1, together with claims dependent thereon, are not obvious over *Tsutsui* in view of *Nakasuji et al.*

It is respectfully submitted that independent claims 6 and 11 contain elements similar to those discussed above with regard to claim 1 and, thus, independent claims 6 and 11, together with claims dependent thereon, are not obvious over *Tsutsui* in view of *Nakasuji et al.*

Additional Remarks

On February 20, 2001, Applicant filed an Information Disclosure Statement concurrently with the filing of the present patent application. To date, Applicant has not received a signed copy of Form PTO-1449. Applicant respectfully requests consideration of the documents contained in the Information Disclosure Statement.

CONCLUSION

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Catherine M. Voisinnet (Reg. No. 52,327) at the telephone number below, to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite prosecution in connection with the present application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By 
Charles Gorenstein, Reg. #29,271

Can
CG/CMV/jdm
0033-0695P

P.O. Box 747
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747
(703) 205-8000